



Virtual KOL Event: Educational Webinar on the Clinical Applications of ERP P300 with Drs. John Olichney, Hans Moebius and Kevin Church

NOVEMBER 5, 2021

Disclaimer

This presentation and the accompanying oral commentary contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements are based on our management's beliefs and assumptions and on information currently available to our management. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, some of which cannot be predicted or quantified. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "expect," "plan," anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "intend," "potential," "would," "continue," "ongoing" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements other than statements of historical fact contained in this presentation, including, but not limited to, information concerning timing and success of our planned development activities and the potential therapeutic benefits of our product candidates,.

Forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors. It is not possible for our management to predict all risks, nor can we assess the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements we may make. These factors, together with those that are described in greater detail in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated or implied by our forward-looking statements.

In addition, statements that "we believe" and similar statements reflect our beliefs and opinions on the relevant subject. These statements are based upon information available to us as of the date of this presentation, and although we believe such information forms a reasonable basis for such statements, such information may be limited or incomplete, and our statements should not be read to indicate that we have conducted a thorough inquiry into, or review of, all potentially available relevant information. These statements are inherently uncertain and readers are cautioned not to unduly rely upon these statements. Furthermore, if our forward-looking statements prove to be inaccurate, the inaccuracy may be material. In light of the significant uncertainties in these forward-looking statements, you should not regard these statements as a representation or warranty by us or any other person that we will achieve our objectives and plans in any specified time frame, or at all. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

By attending or receiving this presentation you acknowledge that you will be solely responsible for your own assessment of the market and our market position and that you will conduct your own analysis and be solely responsible for forming your own view of the potential future performance of our business.

This presentation contains estimates, projections and other information concerning market, industry and other data. We obtained this data from our own internal estimates and research and from academic and industry research, publications, surveys, and studies conducted by third parties, including governmental agencies. These data involve a number of assumptions and limitations, are subject to risks and uncertainties, and are subject to change based on various factors, including those discussed in our filings with the SEC. These and other factors could cause results to differ materially from those expressed in the estimates made by the independent parties and by us. While we believe such information is generally reliable, we have not independently verified any third-party information.

This presentation concerns drug candidates that are under clinical investigation and which have not yet been approved for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. The drug candidates are currently limited by federal law to investigational use, and no representation is made as to their safety or effectiveness for the purposes for which they are being investigated.

We announce material information to the public through a variety of means, including filings with the SEC, press releases, public conference calls, our website (www.athira.com), our investor relations website (investors.athira.com), and our news site (investors.athira.com/news-and-events/press-releases). We use these channels, as well as social media, including our Twitter account (@athirapharma) and Facebook page (https://www.facebook.com/athirapharmainc), to communicate with investors and the public about Athira, our products, and other matters. Therefore, we encourage investors, the media, and others interested in Athira to review the information we make public in these locations, as such information could be deemed to be material information.



Presenter Introductions



John M. Olichney, M.D. is a board-certified Behavioral Neurologist and Dementia specialist whose research interests include: Alzheimer's disease (AD) and other neurodegenerative disorders; electrophysiological and neuroimaging studies of memory and language processes; and the treatment and early diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease (MCI, pre-clinical AD). He serves as the Leader of the UC Davis Alzheimer's Disease Center's Clinical Core and Clinical Trials Unit. He also directs a 2 year UCNS-accredited Fellowship on "Behavioral Neurology and Neuropsychiatry in Neurodegeneration and Aging" which trains neurologists and psychiatrists to become experts in dementia research and care.





Hans Moebius, M.D., Ph.D. has served as our Chief Medical Officer since April 2019. Prior to joining Athira, Dr. Moebius cofounded Exciva GmbH, a company focusing on targeted drug rescue, and served as its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Medical Officer from 2016 to 2019, and again as acting Chief Medical Officer since April 2020. For the past 30+ years, his focus was on drug development, starting at Novartis, and later EU and US companies as Chief Medical Officer, working in neuropsychiatric indications and with diverse approaches in neurodegenerative disorders. Six CNS drugs made it to market with his leadership, e.g. memantine and incobotulinumtoxin A. He is co/inventor of Namzaric®, Deraphan®, and several more patents. Dr. Moebius earned his M.D. from University of Heidelberg followed by a Ph.D. in experimental pharmacology.

Kevin Church, Ph.D. has served in various roles at Athira since 2016, including Research Scientist, Director of Discovery, now as Vice President of Discovery. Dr. Church has research experience in diverse fields of study including neurodegenerative diseases, wound healing, and cancer. Dr. Church earned his Ph.D. in molecular biosciences from Washington State University in 2016, and prior to that earned his B.S. in microbiology from the University of Idaho in 2006. Dr. Church leads the drug discovery teams at Athira which have developed a series of novel small-molecules that are designed to activate a key neurotrophic system and may have substantial therapeutic potential in a variety of neurological disorders.



Agenda



Opening and Athira Overview

ATH-1017 mechanism of action and rationale for translational measures, qEEG and ERP P300

Introduction to Event-Related Potentials (ERPs) and Quantitative EEG (qEEG) in Alzheimer's Disease (AD)

Athira's strategy and rationale for clinical trial design and summary of ERP P300 latency data in Alzheimer's subjects

Questions



Athira Overview

	Novel approach to rapidly improve cognition	Leveraging a critical repair pathway, HGF/MET, and naturally occurring repair mechanisms that are agnostic to underlying disease pathology	
The second	Lead Asset ATH-1017	Lead indication is in potentially pivotal trial Encouraging Phase 1 clinical data in Alzheimer's disease • LIFT-AD trial actively recruiting, topline data by late 2022 • ACT-AD trial topline data by first half 2022	
	Efficient clinical development strategy	Clinical development strategy to investigate fast onset, tangible cognitive improvement Potential follow-on indications with established regulatory pathway and faster timelines	
	Pipeline of novel, small molecule compounds	 Opportunity to explore several neurological indications CNS degenerative, PNS disorders, neuropsychiatric indications 	



ATH-1017 mechanism of action and rationale for translational measures, qEEG and ERP P300

> Kevin Church, Ph.D. Vice President of Discovery, Athira

HGF/MET System is Critical to Normal Brain Function

MET is one of the most stably expressed genes in the adult human brain

 Stable MET expression is a signature of the healthy adult brain¹

Suggests that dysregulation of HGF/MET could be implicated in brain pathologies

MET expression is reduced in the brains of AD patients²

¹Hawrylycz et al, Nature Neuroscience 2015 ²Hamasaki et al, Neuropathology 2014



ATH-1017 is a Positive Modulator of the HGF/MET Neurotrophic System

ATH-1017:

- Administered via subcutaneous injection
- Is a small molecule prodrug that is
- immediately converted to an active

metabolite in plasma

- Crosses the blood-brain barrier
- Positively modulates HGF/MET



Multimodal, protective, and regenerative

HGF/MET signaling and downstream effects described in: Desole et al, Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology 2021 Funakoshi and Nakamura, Current Signal Transduction Therapy 2011

8

Promoting HGF/MET Activity May Lead to Both Short and Long-Term Beneficial Effects

Expected Timeline of Effects of Therapeutically Promoting HGF/MET Activity





ATH-1017 Induces Immediate and Sustained EEG Effects in APP/PS1 Mice

Induction of <u>acute EEG effects</u>

- 1 Gamma power
- ↓ Theta
- Dose-dependency

PHARMA



ATH treatment induced significant dose-dependent effects on EEG within 1 hr post treatment. N=5, ***p<0.001

Induction of sustained EEG effects

After 7 days of washout, persistent EEG effects are observed (\uparrow Gamma; \downarrow Theta)





ATH-1017 treatment induced significant and persistent EEG effects after 2 weeks of treatment. N=5, ***p<0.001

10

ATH-1017 Therapeutic Rationale

- HGF/MET is a well-established neurotrophic factor system that is critical for normative brain function in the healthy brain
- ATH-1017 is a highly specific, brain-penetrant small molecule therapeutic designed to positively modulate HGF/MET
- Multi-pronged downstream effects potentially addresses several pathologies in neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer's disease
- Steady state PK is not necessary, pulsatile activation of the target is sufficient
- Modulates EEG signals at exposures that overlap with procognitive effects suggests EEG as a translatable biomarker to inform clinical dosing
- Improvement in neuronal health and synaptic connectivity may positively impact ERP signals



CENTER FOR MIND AND BRAIN

JNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS

Introduction to Event-Related Potentials (ERPs) and Quantitative EEG (qEEG) in Alzheimer's Disease (AD)

John M. Olichney, MD

Professor of Neurology UC Davis School of Medicine VIEWPOINT

Alzheimer's Disease Is a Synaptic Failure

DOMINANTLY INHERITED FORMS OF AD

Missense mutations in the APP or Presenilin 1 or 2 genes

Increased Aβ42 production throughout life

NONDOMINANT FORMS OF AD (Including "Sporadic"AD) Denni

Failure of Aβ clearance mechanisms (e.g., inheritance of ApoE4, faulty Aβ degradation, etc.)

Gradually rising Aß levels with age

Accumulation and oligomerization of A β 42 in limbic and association cortices

Subtle effects of A β 42 oligomers on synaptic efficacy

Gradual deposition of Aβ42 oligomers as diffuse plaques

Microglial and astrocytic activation and attendant inflammatory responses

Altered neuronal ionic homeostasis; oxidative injury

Altered kinase/phosphatase activities lead to tangles

Widespread neuronal/synaptic dysfunction and selective neuronal loss, with attendant neurotransmitter deficits

DEMENTIA www.sciencemag.org SCIENCE VOL 298 25 OCTOBER 2002 Dennis J. Selkoe

In its earliest clinical phase, Alzheimer's disease characteristically produces a remarkably pure impairment of memory.

- The amnesia of early AD has been interpreted as evidence for a primary disorder of synaptic plasticity (Selkoe, 2002; Mesulam, 1999).
- Mounting evidence suggests that this syndrome begins with subtle alterations of hippocampal synaptic efficacy prior to frank neuronal degeneration, and that the <u>synaptic dysfunction is caused by diffusible oligomeric</u> <u>assemblies of the amyloid β protein</u>.
- Aβ oligomers and β-amyloid derived diffusible ligands (ADDLs) can <u>inhibit LTP</u> (e.g., Walsh et al., 2002), <u>decrease NMDA receptors</u> (Lacor et al., 2007) and <u>disrupt synaptic transmission</u>.



Sperling R, et al., Alzheimer's & Dementia 2011; 7: 280–292.

Jack CR, et al., The Lancet Neurology 2010;1:119-128

Synapse loss in Alzheimer's Disease:

- Immunochemical and ultrastructural studies indicate that in AD there is 20-42% loss of presynaptic terminals.
- Synapse loss is an EARLY event that begins in the hippocampus, followed by the frontal cortex, temporal, cingulate and parietal.
- Early loss of synapses following the known anatomical patterns of dennervation is also observed in experimental animal models



EEG measures of neural synchronization and connectivity in AD



Received: 22 May 2020 Revised: 28 December 2020 Accepted: 1 January 2021

REVIEW ARTICLE

DOI: 10.1002/alz.12311

Alzheimer's & Dementia

Measures of resting state EEG rhythms for clinical trials in Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations of an expert panel

Claudio Babiloni^{1,2} • | Xianghong Arakaki³ | Hamed Azami⁴ | Karim Bennys⁵ | Katarzyna Blinowska^{6,7} | Laura Bonanni⁸ | Ana Bujan⁹- | Maria C. Carrillo¹⁰ | Andrzej Cichocki^{11,12,13} | Jaisalmer de Frutos-Lucas¹⁴ | Claudio Del Percio¹ | Bruno Dubois^{15,16} | Rebecca Edelmayer¹⁰ | Gary Egan¹⁷ | Stephane Epelbaum^{15,16} | Javier Escudero¹⁸ | Alan Evans¹⁹ | Francesca Farina²⁰ | Keith Fargo^{10,*} | Alberto Fernández¹⁴ | Raffaele Ferri²¹ | Giovanni Frisoni^{22,23} | Harald Hampel²⁴ | Michael G. Harrington³ | Vesna Jelic²⁵ | Jaeseung Jeong²⁶ | Yang Jiang²⁷ | Maciej Kaminski⁷ | Voyko Kavcic²⁸ | Kerry Kilborn²⁹ | Sanjeev Kumar³⁰ | Alice Lam³¹ | Lew Lim³² | Roberta Lizio³³ | David Lopez¹⁴ | Susanna Lopez¹ | Brendan Lucey³⁴ | Fernando Maestú¹⁴ | William J. McGeown³⁵ | Ian McKeith³⁶ | Davide Vito Moretti²² | Flavio Nobili^{37,38} | Giuseppe Noce³³ | John Olichney³⁹ | Marco Onofrj⁸ | Kaicado Osorio⁴⁰ | Mario Parra-Rodriguez³⁵ | Tarek Rajji³⁰ | Petra Ritter^{41,42} | Andrea Soricelli^{33,43} | Fabrizio Stocchi⁴⁴ | Ioannis Tarnanas^{45,46} | John Paul Taylor³⁶ | Stefan Teipel^{47,48} | Federico Tucci¹ | Mitchell Valdes-Sosa^{49,50} | Marco Weiergräber⁵¹ | Gorsev Yener⁵² | Bahar Guntekin^{53,54}

¹ Department of Physiology and Pharmacology "Vittorio Erspamer", Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy ² San Raffaele of Cassino, Cassino (FR), Italy ³ Huntington Medical Research Institutes, Pasadena, California, USA ⁴ Department of Neurology and Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Charlestown, Massachusetts, USA ⁵ Centre Mémoire de Ressources et de Recherche (CMRR), Centre Hospitalier, Universitaire de Montpellier, Montpellier, France ⁶ Institute of Biocybernetics, Warsaw, Poland ⁷ Faculty of Physics University of Warsaw and Nalecz, Warsaw, Poland ⁸ Department of Neuroscience Imaging and Clinical Sciences and CESI, University "G. D'Annunzio" of Chieti-Pescara, Chieti, Italy ⁹ Psychological Neuroscience Lab, School of Psychology, University of Minho, Minho, Portugal ¹⁰ Division of Medical & Scientific Relations, Alzheimer's Association, Chicago, Illinois, USA ¹¹ Skolkowo Institute of Science and Technology (SKOLTECH), Moscow, Russia ¹² Systems Research Institute PAS, Warsaw, Polance ¹³ Nicolaus Copernicus University (UMK), Torun, Poland 14 Laboratory of Cognitive and Computational Neuroscience, Center for Biomedical Technology, Universidad Complutense and Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Madrid, Spain 15 Department of Neurology, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, AP-HP, Boulevard de l'hôpital, Institute of Memory and Alzheimer's Disease (IM2A), Paris, France 16 ICM, INSERM U1127, CNRS UMR 7225, Sorbonne Université, Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle épinière, Paris, France ¹⁷ Foundation Director of the Monash Biomedical Imaging (MBI) Research Facilities, Monash University, Clayton, Australia ¹⁸ School of Engineering, Institute for Digital Communications, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK ¹⁹ Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, McGill University, Montreal, Canada

1528 © 2021 the Alzheimer's Association

Alzheimer's Dement, 2021:17:1528-1553

Bablioni et al 2021 Alzheimers Dement 17:1528-53

wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/alz

EEG/ERP Measure Electrical Activity from Firing Neurons & Synapses

EVENT RELATED POTENTIALS (ERP): P300 Latency

- Functional measurement for working memory access and executive function
- Strongly suggestive of memory improvement





EEG records brain electrical activity from electrodes placed on the scalp

QUANTITATIVE EEG (qEEG)

- Translational tool from rodents to humans
- PK/PD modeling for dose selection



Event-Related Potentials and Cognition

PROS

1.Temporal Resolution (milliseconds)

2. Summation of EPSPs & IPSPs

3. Sensitive to Task Manipulations



CONS

- 4. Sensitive to Temporal pattern of neural responses (stages of cognitive ¹.
 processing, frequency domain ².
 - 1. Spatial Resolution
 - 2. Difficult to Isolate and Locate "Neural Generators" (dependent on neuronal & dipole orientation)

What electrophysiology tells us about Alzheimer's disease: a window into the synchronization and connectivity of brain neurons

Cognitive ERPs offer several advantages for studying cognition, such as:

- Millisecond temporal resolution, and the ability to <u>separate</u> the various stages of cognitive processes.
- Event-related potentials (ERPs) directly measure the speed and strength of cognitive processing.
- Since they are mainly composed of summated EPSPs & IPSPs, they should be particularly sensitive to detecting Synaptic disorders.

Babiloni C, et al., Neurobiology of Aging 2020; 85:58-73





Longitudinal Cognitive ERPs: Applications for AD treatment trials

Fig 1. Provisional Model: Temporal sequence of events in the evolution of AD



Applications of ERP/EEG for AD treatment trials

- <u>Sensitive to medication treatment effects (e.g. Reeves et al</u> 1999, Kubova et al 2010). *Aid clinical trial development*.
- <u>Tracking of disease progression</u>: Physiological biomarker of real time brain function.
- Subject selection/enrichment.
- Understand <u>how therapies work</u>, <u>which neural systems</u> and cognitive <u>stages</u> of processing are <u>affected</u>.



Horvath A, Szucs A, Csukly G, et al., Frontiers in Bioscience 2018; 23:183-220.

Auditory ERP components

- N1:
 - *Early attention* (mostly automatic)
 - Sensitive to sensory characteristics of stimuli
 - Generated in the primary auditory cortex
- N2:
 - Later attention (MMN: automatic attention; N2b controlled/selective attention)
 - Generated in the superior temporal gyrus
- P3:
 - Context/working memory updating
 - Larger response to targets with lower probability, higher saliency, or more attentional resources
 - Distributed generators: temporoparietal junction, hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, pos. cingulate and frontal lobe.



Auditory P300 ERP Paradigm

- Task is to count the "oddball" tones (more WM than simple button press) or simple button press.
- A P300 wave is generated in response to the "oddball" tone
- Repetition is key, the more trials the better the data quality
- Phase-locked responses to a target "oddball" tone are averaged to produce an ERP waveform







P300 amplitude: Is bigger better?

P3 Amplitude related to Stimulus
 Probability, Attentional resources,
 Saliency (R. Johnson Triarchic Model)





Scalp positivity, parietal peak 300 ms "Updating of Working Memory & Memory storage"

P300 amplitude (Pz) decreases with age = 0.14 uV/year P300 latency (Pz) increases with age by 1-2 ms/yr (Fjell & Walhovd, 2001; Polich 2012)

Modulated by cholinergic agents (Meador et al 1989; Pineda et al 1996; Potter et al 2000, Thomas et al 2001, Polich 2012)



Diagram of Standard 10-20 Montage: Electrode Placement on Scalp







1. Alzheimer's patients compared to matched controls, showed longer latencies for the P300 component.

2. Alzheimer's patients had less amplitude difference between the target and standard tones in the auditory oddball task: reflects poor discriminability.

Polich J, Ladish C, & Bloom F, Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology/Evoked Potentials Section, 1990; 77(3), 179-189.





P300 ERP in Alzheimer's Disease (and MCI)



- Many studies have identified differences in P300 in AD and MCI
- P300 latency more consistent than amplitude reduction
- Fewer subjects yield significant and reproducible amplitude reduction

Study	Population (n)	Electrode placement	P300 Latency	P300 Amplitude
Caravaglios et	HC (16)	Fz, Cz	AD > HC	
al., 2008	AD (21)	and Pz		
O'Mahony et al.,	HC (20)	Fz, Cz	AD > HC	
1996	AD (18)	and Pz		
Lai et al., 2010	HC (16)	Fz, Cz	AD > HC	
	AD (16)	and Pz		
Yamaguchi et	HC (16)	Cz	AD > HC	HC > AD
al., 2000	AD (16)	and Pz		
Golob and	HC (12)	Fz, Cz	AD > HC	HC > AD
Starr, 2000	AD (10)	and Pz		
Bennys et al.,	HC (10)	Fz, Cz	AD > MCI > HC	HC > MCI = AD
2007	MCI (20)	and Pz		
	AD (30)			
Juckel et al.,	HC (16)	32	AD > HC	HC > AD
2008	AD (18)	channels		
Frodl et al.,	HC (26)	29	AD > MCI > HC	HC > MCI = AD
2002	MCI (26)	channels		
	AD (30)			
Ally et al., 2006	HC (80)	10-20	AD > HC	HC > AD
	AD (80)			
Cecchi et al.,	HC (101)	Fz, Cz, Pz, F3,	AD > HC	HC > AD
2015	Mild AD (103)	P3, F4, and P4		

Figure adapted from <u>Ally et al, 2006</u>

ERP Studies of Treatment in AD Dementia

	Paradigm	Sample	Results
Saletu et al. 1995	P300- Auditory	N= 67 (35 AD, 32 MID) Txd. w/ 30 mg Nicergoline BID x 8 wks	P300 latency (-30 ms vs. + 10 ms w/ placebo in AD)
Reeves et al. 1999	P300- Auditory & Visual	N= 12 mild AD, txd. x 1 month w/ 5mg donepezil	 P300 latency (Vis: -25 ms, Aud: -9 ms) n.s. Δ MMSE (0.5 pts)
Werber et al. 2003	P300- Auditory	N= 32 dementia Pts (14 AD) Txd. x 26 wks w/ AChEI: 19 THA	P300 latency (-24 ms, p= .0001), n.s. \triangle ADAS-Cog (2 pts, p= .08)
Katada et al. 2003	P300-Auditory	N=13 probable AD txd. w/ donepezil.	P300 latency (-23 ms at 1 mon.), P300 latency correlated w/ ADAS-J.
Thomas et al. 2001	P300-Auditory	N=60 mild-moderate AD txd. 5-10 mg of donepezil or rivastigmine.	P300 latency (-15 ms DPZ, -22 ms RIV) correlated w/ cognitive improvement (ADAS-Cog, WAIS, MMSE).
Kubova et al. 2010	Visual P300, VEPs (pattern + motion)	N= 17 mild-mod AD txd. w/ Memantine x 6 mos.	No sig. P300, but 42% w/ \geq 20 ms Δ vs. 29% w/ ADAS- Cog $\Delta \geq$ 4pts

30

ERP P300 Data with Suggestive Correlation to Cognitive Changes



Auditory ERP Components in Clinical Trial Design

Purpose	Methods	Design	Patient samples	EEG biomarkers	Main Findings	References
<u>Assessment</u> of treatment effects	ERP	Longitudinal	AD	P300, N200	 Assessment over time - AChEIs Reduced P300 latency* (studies varying between 1 to 6 months of treatment). Reduced P300 jitter* Suggestions of reduced N200 latency* (that when unreliable at baseline, became more pronounced). 	Selected articles: Katada et al. 2003; Reeves et al., 1999; Onofrj et al., (2003); Thomas et al., 2001*

* Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial design

Babiloni C, Blinowska K, Bonanni L, et al., Neurobiology of Aging 2020; 85:58-73

Resting state EEG alterations in the power spectrum in AD and MCI

Purpose	Methods	Design	Patient samples	EEG biomarkers	Main findings	References
Detection and monitoring	rsEEG	Cross- sectional	AD, aMCI, AD at different stages of severity,	Delta, theta, alpha, beta power density, sources and connectivity. Alpha and beta coherence	 AD vs aMCI: Widespread higher theta power; posterior lower alpha and beta power; higher delta and theta coherence in the default-mode and sensoriomotor networks; lower alpha coherence in the default-mode network; lower fronto-parietal connectivity in theta, alpha and beta. AD disease stage: Higher delta and theta power and no alpha peak in more severe stages of AD. rsEEG synchronization: Widespread power density decrease of alpha, especially in low alpha at posterior regions, and/or beta rhythms. Widespread power density increase of delta and theta rhythms. rsEEG connectivity: Decreased intra and inter-regional connectivity in delta and theta bands in frontal, temporal and parietal areas in aMCI. <i>Alpha connectivity</i>: Decrease of connectivity in the alpha band, mainly in posterior regions. Decrease of parietal to frontal information flux at alpha band, especially at parietal electrodes. Weaker small-world network, more random brain networks. Loss of complexity of brain dynamics in AD. 	Selected reviews: Babiloni et al., 2016a Selected articles: Babiloni et al., 2008b, 2009a; Hsiao et al., 2013, 2014; Jelic et al., 2000; Rodríguez et al., 1999 Selected reviews: Babiloni et al., 2016a; Hamm et al., 2015 and Tsolaki et al., 2014 Selected articles: Babiloni et al., 2010d; Canuet et al., 2012 Reviews: Babiloni et al., 2016a; D'Amelio and Rossini, 2013; Dauwels et al., 2010a; Tijms et al., 2013 Selected articles: Babiloni et al., 2008b, 2009a, 2016c; Canuet et al., 2012; Dauwels et al., 2014b Selected reviews: Dauwels et al., 2010a Selected articles: Zhang et al., 2013

Resting state EEG alterations in the power spectrum in AD and MCI; Longitudinal Studies

Purpose	Methods	Design	Patient samples	EEG biomarkers	Main findings	References
Detection and monitoring	rsEEG	Longitudinal	AD, aMCI	Delta, theta, alpha, beta power density and sources EEG mean frequency	 Baseline-follow ups in AD: increase of theta and delta power, decrease of alpha and beta power, decrease of mean frequency at the temporo- occipital electrodes; reduced connectivity 	Selected reviews: Drago et al., 2011 Selected articles: Huang et al., 2000; Rossini et al., 2006; Luckhaus et al., 2008; Moretti et al., 2011; Babiloni et al., 2011; Bonanni et al., 2015

Frequency-specific changes find alterations in the power spectrum and provide phase information for a given frequency band as it relates to the given stimuli/task

Table 1

Summary of the time-frequency dynamics of AD and MCI patients in the literature.

Frequency	Delta response	Theta response	Alpha response	Beta response	Gamma response
AD	↓ Decreased delta ERS	↓ Decreased theta power/ ERS, decreased theta phase locking	↓ Decreased ERD ↑ Increased ERD	↓ Decreased, beta power/ERS ↓ Decreased beta ERD	↓ Decrease early gamma ERS, ↑ Increased Gamma power ↓ Decreased gamma ERD
MCI	↓ Decreased delta ERS	↓ Decreased theta power/ ERS, decreased theta phase locking	↓ Decreased alpha phase locking	↓ Decreased, beta power/ERS ↓ Decreased, beta phase locking ↓ Decreased beta ERD	
References	Caravaglios et al. (2008) Kurt et al. (2014) Yener et al. (2008, 2012, 2013) Yener and Başar (2013)	Caravaglios et al. (2008) Cummins et al. (2008) Deiber et al. (2009, 2015) Yener et al. (2007)	Babiloni et al. (2000, 2005) Deiber et al. (2015) Fraga et al. (2017) Karrasch et al. (2006)	Deiber et al. (2015), Fraga et al. (2017) Güntekin et al. (2013), Kurimoto et al. (2012) Missonnier et al. (2007)	Başar et al. (2016), Osipova et al. (2006) van Deursen et al. (2011) Kurimoto et al. (2012)

AD: Alzheimer's Disease. MCI: mild cognitive impairment. ERS: event-related synchronization. ERD: event-related desynchronization. MCI: mild cognitive impairment.

Rossini P, Di Iorio F, Vecchio M, et al., Clinical Neurophysiology 2020; 131(6):1287-1310

Electrophysiology as a Measure for Brain Function in Alzheimer's Disease

Sources of disruptions include:

- · Neurotransmitter dysregulation
- Neuronal loss
- Synaptic loss/dysfunction
- Insufficient glucose and oxygen delivery

350 ms

400 + ms

- Sleep deprivation
- Disrupted Network Communication

Disease onset

300 ms



- Impaired brain connectivity
- Impaired cognition



- Normal brain connectivity
- Normal cognition

ERP P300 Latency

SUMMARY/CONCLUSIONS

- 1. P300 is sensitive to Aging, AD dementia & MCI.
- 2. EEG/ERP provide important digital biomarkers of AD; Direct measure of synaptic function and brain dynamics.
- 3. P300 is proven responsive to cholinergic therapies. ERP components, if selected well, can provide excellent markers of a biological response to cognitive therapies.
- 4. Faster P300 latencies indicate faster cognitive processing and correlates with attentional and executive abilities.

Athira's strategy and rationale for clinical trial design and summary of ERP P300 latency data in Alzheimer's subjects

> Hans Moebius, M.D., Ph.D. Chief Medical Officer, Athira

Independent Partners for Athira's Phase 1a/b Trial of ATH-1017

Athira's trial conduct and analysis plus audit of Phase 1a/b data



Biotrial is an independent, specialized full-service CRO with large gEEG and FRP database



Biotrial is a member of the PRISM consortium

Services:

- IRT Randomization and Trial Supply Management
- Central Lab
- Statistical Analysis and CSRs
- **Bioanalysis / Pharmacokinetics**
- Drug Safety & Pharmacovigilance (DSMB Management)
- Data Management
- Electronic Data Capture
- Phase 1 study participants were in-house



Services:

Statistical analysis of ERP P300 latency results

computer validation consulting services

Services:

- Confirmation of GCP compliance
- Confirmation of data management quality for Phase



Repeat P300 Latency Monitoring in a Phase 1b Trial of ATH-1017

Trial population: Alzheimer's Disease (n=11, mean MMSE 19)

ATH-1017; Subcutaneously; once daily 40 mg or Placebo



Assessments of qEEG and ERP P300 Latency





	P300 Latency (ms)									
Treatment	Day 1			Day 4			Day 8			
	Baseline	Hour 1	Hour 3	Pre-dose	Hour 1	Hour 3	Pre-dose	Hour 1	Hour 3	
40 mg ATH-1017 (n=7)										





P300 Latency (ms)										
Treatment	Day 1			Day 4			Day 8			
	Baseline	Hour 1	Hour 3	Pre-dose	Hour 1	Hour 3	Pre-dose	Hour 1	Hour 3	
40 mg ATH-1017 (n=7)										





P300 Latency (ms)										
Treatment	Day 1			Day 4			Day 8			
	Baseline	Hour 1	Hour 3	Pre-dose	Hour 1	Hour 3	Pre-dose	Hour 1	Hour 3	
40 mg ATH-1017 (n=7)										





P300 Latency (ms)									
Treatment	Day 1			Day 4			Day 8		
	Baseline	Hour 1	Hour 3	Pre-dose	Hour 1	Hour 3	Pre-dose	Hour 1	Hour 3
40 mg ATH-1017 (n=7)									





P300 Latency (ms)										
Treatment	Day 1			Day 4			Day 8			
	Baseline	Hour 1	Hour 3	Pre-dose	Hour 1	Hour 3	Pre-dose	Hour 1	Hour 3	
40 mg ATH-1017 (n=7)										

Decreased latency on pre-dose recordings (arrows) taken 24 hours after the last dose on the previous day is suggestive of sustained improvement



ATH-1017 Treatment Improved P300 Latency in AD Subjects





ATH-1017 Phase 2/3 Trial (LIFT-AD)

Trial may provide pivotal evidence to support product registration



POPULATION	TREATMENT DURATION	ENDPOINTS
LIFT-AD: N=300 tbc mild-to-moderate AD dementia subjects (55-85 years; CDR 1 and 2; MMSE 14-24 incl.)	26-week randomized, double-blind treatment, + optional 26-week OLEX ATH-1017 (40mg) ATH-1017 (70mg)	 PRIMARY ENDPOINT Global Statistical Test (GST, O'Brien 1984) Safety SECONDARY ENDPOINTS WITH HIERARCHY
Potential pivotal study	Placebo	 Cognition (key secondary): ADAS-Cog11 Global (key secondary): ADCS CGIC - Clinician
 design If both key secondaries are positive 	Randomization (1:1:1)	 Function (key secondary for ex-US): Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study - Activities of Daily Living (ADCS-ADL23)
 If key secondaries are positive GST will also be positive 		INTEGRAL GST PROVIDES PRIMARY READ OUT GST - unbiased composite, fed by data from two key secondaries



ATH-1017 Phase 2 Trial (ACT-AD)



POC Trial to help better understand nature of novel intervention and refine LIFT-AD

POPULATION	TREATMENT DURATION	ENDPOINTS
ACT-AD: N=77 (rec. completed) mild-to-moderate AD dementia subjects (55-85 years; CDR 1 and 2; MMSE 14-24 incl.)	26-week randomized, double-blind treatment, + optional 26-week OLEX ATH-1017 (40mg) ATH-1017 (70mg)	 PRIMARY ENDPOINT Change of P300 latency Safety SECONDARY ENDPOINTS WITH HIERARCHY Global Statistical Test (GST, O'Brien 1984)
 Enables readout 1H2022, ahead of LIFT-AD Informs LIFT SAP and enables earlier strategic decisions 	Placebo	2. Cognition: ADAS-Cog11
	Randomization (1:1:1)	 Global clinical change: ADCS CGIC - Clinician Function: ADCS-ADL23

Looking to the Future

Upcoming milestones and potential value-inflection points

CTAD presentation on November 10th

Starting enrollment in Parkinson's patients with ATH-1017

A new IND for our first oral molecule ATH-1020

ACT-AD and LIFT-AD readouts in 2022









Thank You